	Case 2:25-cv-00158-DC-JDP Docume	ent 21 Filed 09/18/25	Page 1 of 2	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	ROBBIE CARL ELLINGTON, Jr.,	Case No. 2:25-cv-01:	58-DC-JDP (PS)	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	ORDER		
14	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16				
17				
18	Plaintiff Robbie Ellington, proceeding pro se, alleges that defendants, Sacramento County			
19	Police Officers, violated his federal and state rights. Defendants have filed a second motion to			
20	dismiss, arguing that plaintiff's amended complaint is untimely by three days and that this action			
21	should be dismissed with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) and Local Rule			
22	110. I will deny defendants' motion without prejudice.			
23	On April 4, 2025, the court permitted plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty			
24	days. ECF No. 11. Thirty days from April 4, 2025, was May 4, 2025, which was a Sunday.			
25	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(a)(1)(C) extends Sunday deadlines to the next day that is not a			
26	Saturday, Sunday, or holiday, which, in this case, would be May 5, 2025. And because plaintiff			
27	mailed the amended complaint, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(d) provides an additional three			
28	days "after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a)," thereby extending the deadline to			
		1		

	Case 2:25-cv-00158-DC-JDP Document 21 Filed 09/18/25 Page 2 of 2		
1	May 8, 2025. The court received plaintiff's amended complaint on May 8, 2025, and the Clerk of		
2	Court docketed it on May 9, 2025. ¹		
3	But even if plaintiff filed his amended complaint three days late, the court would be		
4	loathed to dismiss this action based on such a minor nonadherence to procedural requirements by		
5	a pro se litigant. This is in line with this court's strong preference to decide matters on the merits		
6	over procedural grounds.		
7	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:		
8	1. Defendant's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 15, is DENIED without prejudice.		
9	2. Defendants shall file a responsive pleading to the second amended complaint, ECF No.		
10	14, within twenty-one days of this order.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: September 18, 2025		
14	JEREMY D. PETERSON		
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Digintiff signed his complaint April 28, 2025, and moiled it from Signed Conservation		
26	¹ Plaintiff signed his complaint April 28, 2025, and mailed it from Sierra Conservation Center, a state prison in Tuolumne County. Under the mailbox rule, a prisoner's pleading is "deemed filed when he hands it over to prison authorities for mailing to the relevant court." <i>Houston v. Lack</i> , 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988); <i>Huizar v. Carey</i> , 273 F.3d 1220, 1222 (9th Cir.		
27			
28	1 2001)		

2001).